STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)
STD. 389 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME
Fish and Game Commission

CONTACT PERSON
Rebecca Flores Miller, Environmental Scientist

TELEPHONE NUMBER
(831) 649-2835

DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400
Commercial Harvest of Kelp, subsections (a), (b), & (c) of Section 165 and Section 165.5, Title 14, CCR

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   a. Impacts businesses and/or employees
   b. Impacts small businesses
   c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   d. Impacts California competitiveness
   e. Imposes reporting requirements
   f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   g. Impacts individuals
   h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)

   (If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.)

2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 5-15
   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits): Licensed Kelp Harvesters.
   Est. 90% are Small Businesses per Government Code Sect. 11342.610, Wholesale Business with gross receipts less than $9.5 M.

   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 90%

3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: _______ or eliminated: _______ Explain:

4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:
   a. Statewide
   b. Local or regional (List areas): Coastal areas of the State, from California/Oregon border to California/Mexico border, and offshore islands in State managed waters.

5. Enter the number of jobs created: _______ or eliminated: _______ Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:

6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
   a. Yes
   b. No
   If yes, explain briefly:

B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $ ________
   a. Initial costs for a small business: $ ________ Annual ongoing costs: $ ________ Years: ________
   b. Initial costs for a typical business: $ ________ Annual ongoing costs: $ ________ Years: ________
   c. Initial costs for an individual: $ ________ Annual ongoing costs: $ ________ Years: ________
   d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: Licensed kelp harvesters that plan to use mechanical harvesters in non-leased areas or intend to lease kelp beds may incur additional costs. See ISOR

See ISOR
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: See ISOR

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted): $ n/a

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?  
   ☐ Yes  ☑ No  
   If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: _______ and the number of units: _______

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?  
   ☐ Yes  ☑ No  
   Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations:_____________________________________________________

   Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $ __________________

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: The regulations are primarily for technical clarification of legal descriptions and boundaries of administrative kelp beds. Extending the harvest plan requirement will provide better protection for kelp resources and for the species that depend on them.

2. Are the benefits the result of: ☐ specific statutory requirements, or ☑ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?  
   Explain: State Legislature mandates sustainable resource management and provides the Fish and Game Commission authority to do so.

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $ See ISOR

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: No alternatives were considered.  
   The proposed change from landmarks and compass headings to latitude and longitude removes subjectivity of boundary locations and will modernize regulations for consistency with other boundary information found in Title 14, CCR. See above section C1.

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit: $</th>
<th>Cost: $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: ____________________________

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?  
   ☐ Yes  ☑ No  
   Explain: The proposed regulations are primarily clarification of legal descriptions and administrative kelp bed boundaries.

   The extension of the harvest plan requirement to all mechanical harvesters should make for more uniform procedures.

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices, and departments are subject to the following additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.

   ____________________________

   ____________________________

   ____________________________

   ____________________________
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1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million?  
   □ Yes  ☑ No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)

2. Briefly describe each equally as an effective alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
   Alternative 1:___________________________________________________________
   Alternative 2:___________________________________________________________

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
   Regulation: $_________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $_________________________
   Alternative 1: $_________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $_________________________
   Alternative 2: $_________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $_________________________

---

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
(Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately $_______________ in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code. Funding for this reimbursement:
   □ a. is provided in ___________________________, Budget Act of ______________ or Chapter ______________, Statutes of ______________
   □ b. will be requested in the ___________________________, Governor's Budget for appropriation in Budget Act of ______________
   (FISCAL YEAR)

☐ 2. Additional expenditures of approximately $_______________ in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:
   □ a. implements the Federal mandate contained in ___________________________________
   □ b. implements the court mandate set forth by the ___________________________________
   court in the case of __________________________________vs. ___________________________________________
   □ c. implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. ______________ at the _____________ election;
   □ d. is issued only in response to a specific request from the _____________________________________________, which is/are the only local entity(s) affected;
   □ e. will be fully financed from the _____________________________________________, authorized by Section (FEES, REVENUE, ETC.)
   _____________________________________________ Code;
   □ f. provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each such unit;
   □ g. creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in _____________________________________________

☐ 3. Savings of approximately $_______________ annually.

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

1. Additional expenditures of approximately $__________ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:
   a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.
   b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the__________ fiscal year.

2. Savings of approximately $__________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

4. Other.

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS  (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

1. Additional expenditures of approximately $__________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

2. Savings of approximately $__________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

4. Other.

1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.