STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FISH AND GAME COMMISSION
INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REGULATORY ACTION
(Pre-Publication of Notice Statement)

Amend Subsection 360(d)
Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR)
Re: Deer: Fund-raising License Tags

I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: November 15, 2011

II. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings:

(a) Notice Hearing: Date: December 15, 2011
Location: San Diego

(b) Discussion Hearings: Date: March 7, 2012
Location: Riverside

(c) Adoption Hearing: Date: April 11, 2012
Location: Eureka

III. Description of Regulatory Action:

(a) Statement of Specific Purpose of Regulation Change and Factual Basis for
Determining that Regulation Change is Reasonably Necessary:

Editorial Changes

Existing regulations provide for the sale of up to ten (10) fund-raising license
deer tags annually. The proposed changes are to reflect the repeal of Section
708 and its replacement by Section 708.1 – 708.17, and the statutory
modification of subsection 4332(e) to Section 3953, Fish and Game Code. A
change to the contact information of Section 360(d)(1)(E)(3) and 360(d)(E)(3)
is proposed to reflect changes in job titles.

(b) Authority and Reference Sections from Fish and Game Code for Regulation:

Authority: Sections 200, 202, 203, 220, 460, 3452, 3453, 3953, 4332 and
4334, Fish and Game Code.
Reference: Sections 200, 202, 203, 203.1, 207, 458, 459, 460, 3452,
3453, 3953, 4332 and 4334, Fish and Game Code.

(c) Specific Technology or Equipment Required by Regulatory Change:
None.

(d) Identification of Reports or Documents Supporting Regulation Change:

None were identified

(e) Public Discussions of Proposed Regulations Prior to Notice Publication:

The Department conducted a public scoping session in Sacramento on October 11, 2006 and November 18, 2010. Public input, discussions and recommendations regarding the environmental document and mammal hunting and trapping regulations were taken at this time.

Additionally, in 2000, the Department of Fish and Game held a total of twenty-three (23) “Deer Stakeholder” meetings throughout the State. The meetings were open to the public and the Department provided information on a variety of deer management strategies and issues including: Deer Assessment Unit (zone complex) planning and tag draw method alternatives. Attendees were asked to participate in a survey and public comment was also received. The Department also conducted four public meetings at which regulation change concepts and specific proposals for mammals, furbearers, including deer were discussed, and additional public comment was received.

While these meetings were conducted prior to the establishment of current and proposed regulations, the concepts and proposals which were derived through these meetings are still being implemented as part of the current year regulatory process.

IV. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change:

Editorial Changes.

There is no reasonable alternative to the proposed action.

(b) No Change Alternative:

Editorial Changes.

The no change alternative was considered and found inadequate to address inconsistencies that exist between regulations and Fish and Game Code.

(c) Consideration of Alternatives:
In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the regulation is proposed, or would be effective as and less burdensome to the affected private persons than the proposed regulation.

V. Mitigation Measures Required by Regulatory Action:

The proposed regulatory action will have no negative impact on the environment; therefore, no mitigation measures are needed.

VI. Impact of Regulatory Action:

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made.

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businessmen to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action reflects editorial changes to eliminate inconsistencies between regulation and Fish and Game Code. Given the number of tags available and the area over which they are distributed, these proposals are economically neutral to business.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California:

None.

(c) Cost Impacts on Private Persons:

The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

None.
(e) Other Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None.

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4:

None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs:

None.
Existing regulations provide for the sale of up to ten (10) fund-raising license deer tags annually. The proposed changes are to reflect the repeal of Section 708 and its replacement by Sections 708.1 – 708.17, and the statutory modification of subsection 4332(e) to Section 3953, Fish and Game Code. Additionally, a change to reflect new contact information with the Departments Law Enforcement Division is proposed.