 Amend Subsection 360(a)
Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR)
Re: Deer: A, B, C, and D Zone Hunts

I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: November 15, 2011

II. Date of Pre-adoption Statement of Reasons: March 7, 2012

III. Date of Final Statement of Reasons: April 16, 2012

IV. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings:

(a) Notice Hearing: Date: December 15, 2011
    Location: San Diego, California

(b) Discussion Hearings: Date: March 7, 2012
    Location: Riverside, California

(c) Adoption Hearing: Date: April 11, 2012
    Location: Eureka, California

V. Update:

Existing regulations provide for the number of license tags available in the A, B, C and D Zones. The original proposal changed the number of tags to a series of tag ranges. After collection of fall/spring herd survey data, harvest data and subsequent population analysis, the proposal is further modified to provide the final tag quotas based on these analyses.

Pursuant to its April 11, 2012 meeting in Eureka, the Fish and Game Commission adopted the final tag quotas (modified proposal) identified in the table within the Updated Informative Digest.

VI. Summary of Primary Considerations Raised in Opposition and in Support:

No (other) public comments, written or oral, were received during the public comment period.

VII. Location and Index of Rulemaking File:

A rulemaking file with attached file index is maintained at:
California Fish and Game Commission
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
VIII. Location of Department files:

Department of Fish and Game
1812 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95811

IX. Description of Reasonable Regulatory Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change:

1. Number of Tags

There is no reasonable alternative to the proposed action.

(b) No Change Alternative:

1. Number of Tags

The no change alternative was considered and found inadequate to attain the project objectives. Retaining the current number of tags for the zones listed may not be responsive to changes in the status of the herds. The deer herd management plans specify objective levels for the proportion of bucks in the herds. These ratios are maintained and managed in part by modifying the number of tags. The no change alternative would not allow management of the desired proportion of bucks stated in the approved deer herd management plans.

(c) Consideration of Alternatives: In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the regulation is proposed, or would be effective and less burdensome to the affected private persons than the proposed regulation.

X. Impact of Regulatory Action:

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made.

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businessmen to Compete with Businesses in Other States.

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action adjusts tag quotas for existing hunts. Given the number of tags
available and the area over which they are distributed, these proposals are economically neutral to business.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California.

None.

(c) Cost Impacts on Private Persons.

The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State.

None.

(e) Other Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies.

None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts.

None.

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4.

None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs.

None.
Existing regulations provide for the number of license tags available for the A, B, C, and D Zones. This regulatory proposal changes the number of tags for all existing zones to a series of ranges presented in the following table. These ranges are necessary, as the final number of tags cannot be determined until spring herd data are collected in March/April. Because severe winter conditions can have an adverse effect on herd recruitment and over-winter adult survival, final tag quotas may fall below the proposed range into the “Low Kill” alternative identified in the 2007 Environmental Document Regarding Deer Hunting.

The original proposal changed the number of tags to a series of tag ranges. After collection of fall/spring herd survey data, harvest data and subsequent population analysis, the proposal is further modified to provide the final tag quotas based on these analyses.

Pursuant to its April 11, 2012 meeting in Eureka, the Fish and Game Commission adopted the final tag quotas (modified proposal) identified in the following table. Because the final tag quotas adopted are identical to the existing language there are no regulatory changes to the current language for subsection 360(a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deer: § 360(a) A, B, C, and D Zone Hunts</th>
<th>2012 Tag Allocations (Modified Proposal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3-5</td>
<td>33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-6</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-7</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-8</td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-9</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-10</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-11</td>
<td>5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-12</td>
<td>950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-13</td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-14</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-15</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-16</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-17</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Deer: § 360(a) A, B, C, and D Zone Hunts
#### 2012 Tag Allocations (Modified Proposal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Original Proposal</th>
<th>Modified Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-19</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>500-2,000</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>