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COMMERCIAL AND RECREATIONAL FISHING FOR KELLETT'S WHELK

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:

   - a. Impacts businesses and/or employees
   - b. Impacts small businesses
   - c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   - d. Impacts California competitiveness
   - e. Imposes reporting requirements
   - f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   - g. Impacts individuals
   - h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)

   (If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.)

2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: 76

   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits):
   Commercial fishermen

   76 different fisherman landed Kellett's whelk in 2010.

3. Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses: 100%

4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:
   - Statewide
   - Local or regional (List areas):
     Southern California

5. Enter the number of jobs created: 0 or eliminated: 0

   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:

6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?
   - Yes
   - No
   If yes, explain briefly:

B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)

1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? $ unknown

   a. Initial costs for a small business: $
      Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 

   b. Initial costs for a typical business: $
      Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 

   c. Initial costs for an individual: $
      Annual ongoing costs: $ Years: 

   d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: There are no increased costs or new fees, or new reporting requirements.
2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: ________________________________

3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted): $ __________________

4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? ☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: ______ and the number of units: ______

5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? ☐ Yes ☑ No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Fish and Game Code Section 7090 requires the Commission to regulate emerging fisheries. Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: $ ______

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: The proposed regulations are designed to promote a long-term sustainable fishery, this is anticipated to result in increased fishing opportunities over the long-term.

2. Are the benefits the result of: ☐ specific statutory requirements, or ☑ goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: The Legislature provides the Fish and Game Commission authority to regulate emerging fisheries (FGC Section 7090)

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? $ Unknown

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: A variety of alternatives are provided in the ISOR. All of the alternatives were deemed infeasible due to increased costs or logistical constraints.

2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit: $ Unknown</th>
<th>Cost: $ Unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>Benefit: $</td>
<td>Cost: $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>Benefit: $</td>
<td>Cost: $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:
   It is not possible to predict how fishing behavior will change in response to the proposed regulations so it is not possible to accurately estimate costs and benefits. The proposed regulations are intended to promote a long-term sustainable fishery.

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? ☐ Yes ☑ No

Explain:

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) Cal/EPA boards, offices, and departments are subject to the following additional requirements per Health and Safety Code section 57005.
1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million? **No**

2. Briefly describe each equally as an effective alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:
   - Alternative 1:
   - Alternative 2:

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
   - Regulation: $ ____________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ ____________________________
   - Alternative 1: $ ____________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ ____________________________
   - Alternative 2: $ ____________________________  Cost-effectiveness ratio: $ ____________________________

---

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

**A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT** (indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately $ ____________________________ in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code. Funding for this reimbursement:
   - a. is provided in ____________________________, Budget Act of ___________ or Chapter ____________, Statutes of ____________________________.
   - b. will be requested in the ____________________________ Governor's Budget for appropriation in Budget Act of ____________________________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures of approximately $ ____________________________ in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:
   - a. implements the Federal mandate contained in ____________________________.
   - b. implements the court mandate set forth by the ____________________________ court in the case of ____________________________ vs. ____________________________
   - c. implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. ____________________________ at the ____________________________ election;
   - d. is issued only in response to a specific request from the ____________________________, which is/are the only local entity(s) affected;
   - e. will be fully financed from the ____________________________ (FEES, REVENUE, ETC.) authorized by Section ____________________________ of the ____________________________ Code;
   - f. provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each such unit;
   - g. creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in ____________________________

☐ 3. Savings of approximately $ ____________________________ annually.

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.
5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately $ ________________ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:
   a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.
   b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for the _____________ fiscal year.

☐ 2. Savings of approximately $ ________________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other.

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)

☐ 1. Additional expenditures of approximately $ ________________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☐ 2. Savings of $ ________________ in the current State Fiscal Year.

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other.

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE: [Signature]
DATE: 2/13/2012

AGENCY SECRETARY: [Signature]
DATE: 2/13/2012

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE: [Signature]
DATE: 

1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.