

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#1 Joyce Renshaw e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 mandates that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife, including sport hunting. Evidence to support an expansion of bear hunting opportunity in California is presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#2 Virginia Flaherty e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#3 Deborah Filipelli e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#4 James Mills e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#5 Mary Ann Vasconcellos e - 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#6 Mary Blair e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#7 Turko Semmes e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#8 Tom Chadwick e – 11/25/09	Supports proposal if as long as a bear census is done & appropriate estimates of poaching are done	The Department accepts this support of the proposed mammal hunting regulation changes. The Department continues to adhere to the 1998 Black Bear Management Plan. The Management Plan directs bear program management to continue monitoring the statewide black bear population, including population assessments and consideration of sources and effects of poaching.
#9 Glen Matteson e – 11/25/09	Ban bear hunting in Los Padres National Forest	See item 1.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#10 Susan Owen e – 11/25/09	Ban bear hunting	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 declares that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife, including sport hunting. Fish and Game Code Sections 203 and 203.1 permit the Fish and Game Commission to establish open and closed seasons for sport hunting when and where appropriate. Evidence supporting an expansion of bear hunting opportunity in California is presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#11 Marcelle Bakula e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#12 Michael Harris e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#13 Jennifer Eickemeyer e – 11/25/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County  B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 1.  B. Fish and Game Code Section 4756 permits the use of dogs for bear hunting. Environmental effects of the use of dogs for bear hunting have been addressed in the 1990, 1996, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002 and 2004 Final Environmental Documents Regarding Bear Hunting, and this practice has been determined to have no negative adverse effects on the statewide black bear population or the environment. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#14 Lynne Harkins e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#15 Eva Zayha e – 11/26/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#16 Kurt & Debbie Buckheim e – 11/26/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County  B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 13(A).  B. See item 13(B).

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#17 Kirsten Schwind e – 11/26/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#18 Marsha Houston e – 11/26/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#19 "dchips" e – 11/26/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#20 Lawrence Nelson e – 11/26/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 13(A). B. See item 13(B).
#21 Johanna Rubba e – 11/26/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#22 Geoff Aggeleer e – 11/27/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 13(A). B. See item 13(B).
#23 Suzie Lytsell e – 11/27/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#24 Richard Schmidt e – 11/27/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 13(A). B. See item 13(B).
#25 Ken Tawzer e – 11/27/09	A. Supports proposal B. Allow mountain lion hunting again	A. Support noted. B. Fish and Game Code Section 4800 edicts that neither the Department nor the Fish and Game Commission have the legal authority to establish a hunting season for mountain lions in California.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#26 Marty Brown e – 11/27/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County  B. Opposed to elimination of in-season closure mechanism (1700 reported)	A. See item 1.  B. Fish and Game Code Sections 203 and 203.1 permit the Fish and Game Commission to establish, change or abolish bag and possession limits based on factual information or testimony relative to the status of the overall population, habitat, food supplies and the welfare of individual animals. Evidence supporting the elimination of the in-season closure mechanism is presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting. The public recommendation is rejected because it incurs unnecessary financial expense to the Department and unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#27 Sheila Blake e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#28 Susan Belany e – 11/27/09	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#29 Linda Stedjee e – 11/28/09	Ban all hunting	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 mandates that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife, including sport hunting. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#30 Brian Landis e – 11/28/09	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County  B. Prohibit use of dogs for bear hunting	A. See item 13(A).  B. See item 13(B).
#31 Anne Antoon e – 11/28/09	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#32 Karen Blue e – 11/28/09	Opposed to proposal	See item 10.
#33 Steven Spencer e – 11/28/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#34 Deepali Panjabe – 11/28/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#35 Dora Barreto – 11/28/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#36 Lorraine Akelian – 11/20/09	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#37 Vicki Hotaling – 12/1/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#38 John Haley 1/2/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#39 Dick Dasmann – 1/24/2010	Supports proposal	The Department accepts this support of the proposed mammal hunting regulation changes.
#40 Susan Gordon – 1/26/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#41 James 1/27/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#42 nkshaw – 1/27/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#43 Catherine Koehne – 1/28/10	A. Ban bear hunting B. Prohibit use of hounds for bear hunting	A. See item 10. B. See item 13(B).
#44 Lydia Garvey – 1/28/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#45 Barry Snitkin e – 1/29/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#46 Eva e – 1/30/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#47 Andrea Bonnett e – 1/30/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#48 Dick Dasmann e – 4/22/09	Support 2009 proposal	In 2009, the Department proposed to change elements of bear hunting in California, including expanding the Southern California bear hunting area to include San Luis Obispo County and eliminating the early, in-season closure when 1,700 bears are reported killed by bear hunters. At the April 21, 2009 meeting of the Fish and Game Commission, the Department modified the original proposal to the no change alternative. The Fish and Game Commission approved the modified proposal thereby leaving bear hunting regulations unchanged from the existing regulations.
#49 Frank Sainburg e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#50 Jennifer Smith e – 11/25/09	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#51 Brian Vincent l – 4/22/09	Support Commission taking no action on 2009 proposal	See item 48.
#52 Margaret Koteen f – 2/3/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#53 Trinity Co. B.O.S. l – 10/6/09	Identify specific closing date and eliminate in-season closure mechanism of 1700 bears	This public recommendation is consistent with the analysis detailed in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting. The Department accepts this recommendation and has incorporated it into the current proposal as an option for the Commission to consider.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#54 Daniel Gregg l – 11/17/09	Increase quota on bears	See item 53.
#55 Garry Laughlin l – 3/10/08	Close dog training area in Siskiyou County	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 mandates that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife. Evidence to support expanding opportunity for training dogs for the pursuit or take of mammals in California is presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting, which concludes the proposal will not have significant adverse effects on the environment. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts outdoor recreational opportunity.
#56 Karen Pearson e – 2/1/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#57 Chris Hall e – 2/3/10	Opposed to elimination of in-season closure mechanism of 1700 reported bears	See item 26(B).
#58 Margaret Koteen e – 2/3/10	A. Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo county  B. Opposed to use of GPS on dogs for hunting  C. Opposed to use of dogs for hunting	A. See item 1.  B. Environmental effects of the use of GPS technology on dogs while bear hunting have been addressed in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting, and this practice has been determined to have no significant adverse effects on the statewide black bear population or the environment. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.  C. See item 13(B).
#59 Jeanie e – 2/3/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#60 Laura Seeley e – 2/4/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#61 Julie Hunt Connel e – 2/4/10	Supports proposal	See item 39.
#62 Nancy Summers e – 2/4/10	Opposed to use of GPS collars on dogs for bear hunting purposes	See item 58(B).
#63 Kiran Nair e – 2/4/10	Opposed to use of dogs for bear hunting purposes	See item 13(B).
#64 Gina De Carlo e – 2/4/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#65 Mark Howard e – 2/3/10	A. Supports proposal  B. Recommends creating “multi-species” hunting tag	A. See item 39.  B. This recommendation is not supported by the Fish and Game Code, and as such, is outside the purview of the Fish and Game Commission.
#66 Christopher Sereno e – 2/4/10	Opposed to any expansion of bear hunting opportunity	See item 1.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#67 Tommy Reid e – 2/4/10	<p>A. Increase quota to 2500 bears</p> <p>B. Establish 30,000 bear tag sale quota</p> <p>C. Incorporate all bear range as hunt area</p>	<p>A. The Department has provided this recommendation the Fish and Game Commission as an option under the current proposal.</p> <p>B. The Department has provided this recommendation the Fish and Game Commission as an option under the current proposal.</p> <p>C. Approximately 87% of the black bear range in California is currently incorporated into a hunt zone. A portion of the remaining 13% is considered range periphery, where the population is expanding into new territory. Given the reproductive history of black bear and the fact that additive mortality drives population dynamics in peripheral areas, the black bear population may be impacted if these areas were incorporated into the existing hunt zone. However, some portions of the black bear range that is not currently in the hunt zone is considered core black bear range and is not subject to the same population dynamics as peripheral populations. These areas include portions of San Luis Obispo, Modoc, Lassen and Inyo Counties. Hence, this recommendation is accepted with modification.</p>
#68 Jill Hoffmann e – 2/4/10	Opposed to elimination of in-season closure mechanism of 1700 bears	See item 26(B).
#69 Seth Schultze e – 2/5/10	Opposed to the use of dogs for bear hunting purposes	See item 13(B).
#70 Antonia Colitti e – 2/5/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#71 P. Lyn Middleton e – 2/5/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#71 Carol Fromson e – 2/5/10	Opposed to proposal	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 mandates that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife, including sport hunting. Evidence to support expanding elements of bear hunting in California is presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting, which concludes the proposal will not have significant adverse effects on the statewide bear resource or the environment. The public recommendation is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.
#72 Jennifer Kress e – 2/6/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#73 Nancy Kiskis e – 2/7/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#74 Anita Phillips e – 2/7/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#75 John Eacobacci 2/7/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#76 Ricky Setticase 2/8/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#77 Tom Hahn 2/8/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#78 Laura Valdivia e – 2/8/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#79 Debbie Chao e – 2/8/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#80 Dale Hanson e – 2/9/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#81 Robert & Kathy Duncan e – 2/10/10 l – 2/11/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#82 Virginia Flaherty e – 2/10/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#83 Meg Crockett e – 2/10/10	Decision meeting on this issue should be held in San Luis Obispo County	Fish and Game Commission meetings are scheduled well in advance to the submission of proposals. These meetings are also scheduled in centralized locations throughout the state such that the majority of California's populous has reasonable opportunity to attend. As such, the Department rejects this recommendation.
#84 John Flaherty e – 2/10/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#85 Karen Kalmenson e – 2/11/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#86 Cristina Reyes e – 2/11/10	A. Opposed to proposal B. Ban bear hunting	A. See item 71. B. See item 10.
#87 Form letter received from 11 individuals e – various dates	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#88 Form letter received from 240 individuals e & l – various dates	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#89 Sunny Bloom 1 – 2/5/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#90 Margie Byrd e – 2/11/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#91 Eva Petolicchio e – 2/11/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#92 JC e – 2/11/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#93 James Hirschinger e – 2/11/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#94 Meg & Rye Syfan e – 2/11/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#95 Noelle Filice-Smith e – 2/11/10	A. Opposed to the use of dogs for bear hunting  B. Opposed to the use of dogs equipped with GPS collars for bear hunting	A. See item 13(B).  B. See item 58(B).
#96 BJ Semmes e – 2/11/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#97 The Appolines e – 2/11/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#98 Lillian Thayer e – 2/11/10	Opposed to expansion of bear hunting into San Luis Obispo County	See item 1.
#99 Lane Ferrante e – 2/11/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.

**Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses  
For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle**

<b>Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)</b>	<b>Summary of Public Comment</b>	<b>Department Response</b>
#100 Rick Balian e – 2/11/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#101 Sloane Quealy e – 2/12/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#102 Michele Mooney e – 2/12/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#103 Sheila Calcagno e – 2/12/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#104 Nathan Roche e – 2/12/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#105 Kevin Flynn e – 2/12/10	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#106 Viola Holme e – 2/13/10	Ban bear hunting	See item 10.
#107 Coleen Hefley e – 2/18/09	Opposed to proposal	See item 71.
#108 Liz Odell O – 2/4/10	Supports science based recommendations for changes to bear hunting regulations	See item 61.
#109 Josh Brones O – 2/4/10	Supports removal of the prohibition on the use of GPS on collars worn by dogs used for hunting and pursuing mammals	The Department accepts this support of the proposed regulation changes for the use of dogs for pursuing and hunting mammals.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#110 Monica Ingabretzen O – 2/4/10	A. Opposes the expansion of the bear hunting area  B. Opposes the use of GPS on dogs used for pursuit or take of mammals	A. See item 1.  B. See item 58(B).
#111 Herb Corbin O – 2/4/10	Supports Department proposed changes to bear hunting regulations and use of GPS on dogs	See item 109.
#112 John Kunkle O – 2/4/10	Supports Department proposed changes to bear hunting regulations and use of GPS on dogs	See item 109.
#113 Dan Titchner O – 2/4/10	Supports science based recommendations for changes to bear hunting regulations and Department proposed changes to use of GPS on dogs	See items 39 and 109.
#114 Nicole Pacquette O – 2/4/10	A. Opposes the expansion of the bear hunting area  B. Opposes the use of GPS on dogs used for pursuit or take of mammals  C. Opposes reduction in the dog control zones  D. Opposes removal of the 1,700 quota to end the season early.	A. See item 1.  B. See item 58(B).  C. See item 55.  D. See item 26(B).
#115 George Checka O – 2/4/10	Supports removal of the prohibition on the use of GPS on collars worn by dogs used for hunting and pursuing mammals	See item 109.
#116 Peter Meyer O – 2/4/10	Supports Department proposed changes to bear hunting regulations	See item 39.

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
#117 Tom Pederson O – 2/4/10	Supports science based recommendations for changes to hunting regulations and Department proposed changes for archery bear hunting and condemned carcasses	The Department accepts this support of the proposed mammal hunting regulation changes.
#118 Bill Gaines O – 2/4/10	Supports Department proposed changes to mammal hunting regulations	See item 117.
#119 Kim Flarety O – 2/4/10	A. Opposed to killing bears  B. Recommends banning use of dogs for hunting mammals  C. Opposes use of GPS on dogs	A. See item 10.  B. See item 13(B).  C. See item 58(B).
#120 Center for Biological Diversity L -	Petition requesting a ban hunting in the Mohave National Preserve (except for bighorn sheep hunting in February), including the use spotlights and the use of dogs for hunting mammals	Fish and Game Code Section 1801 declares that state policies provide diversified recreational use of wildlife, including sport hunting. Fish and Game Code Sections 203 and 203.1 permit the Fish and Game Commission to establish open and closed seasons for sport hunting when and where appropriate. The petition is rejected because it unnecessarily restricts hunting recreational opportunity.
#121 emails from 12 individuals	Support for the petition in #120	See item 120.
#122 emails from 690 individuals	Opposed to the petition in #120	The Department proposes no change to the current hunting regulations for the Mojave National Preserve.
#123 Liz Odell e – 11/24/09	A. Supports proposal if restrictions are placed on “out of state hunters and guides”  B. If no restrictions, continue closure at 1700 bears but allow dog training until last day of season (last Sunday in Dec)	A. The Department accepts this support of the proposed mammal hunting regulation changes. The Department currently restricts nonresident hunters by charging increased fees to nonresident hunters. As such, the Department accepts this recommendation with modifications.  B. Fish and Game Code Sections 203 and 203.1 permit the Fish and Game Commission to establish, change or abolish bag and possession limits based on factual information or testimony relative to the status of the overall population, habitat, food supplies and the welfare of individual animals. Evidence supporting the elimination of the in-season closure mechanism is

## Public Recommendations on Proposed Regulatory Changes and Department Responses For 2010 Bear Hunting Regulations Triennial Review Cycle

Number, Name, Type(s), Date(s)	Summary of Public Comment	Department Response
	C. Eliminate dog control zones and allow training year round (with specific restrictions)	<p>presented in the 2010 Draft Environmental Document Regarding Bear Hunting. Furthermore, existing regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 265) permit the training or coursing of dogs from the day preceding the general deer season through April 1. The public recommendation is rejected because it incurs unnecessary financial expense to the Department and unnecessarily restricts hunting opportunity.</p> <p>C. In 1985, the Fish and Game Commission promulgated the dog control zones (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 265) to improve the condition of the bear population. Since that time, the bear population has increased fourfold. The Department would prefer removing portions from the dog control zones in a stepwise and scientific manner to better monitor the effects of the recommendation. As such, the recommendation is accepted with modification.</p>
#124 Marc Beauchamp L- 2/16/10	Ban Bear Hunting	See item 10.
#125 Farion Pearce L - 2/17/10	A. Opposed to proposal B. Ban bear hunting	A. See item 71. B. See item 10
#126 Meghann Pierce L – 2/17/10	A. Opposed to proposal B. Ban bear hunting	A. See item 71. B. See item 10.
#127 Marjorie Emerson L – 2/17/10	A. Opposed to the use of dogs for bear hunting B. Opposed to the use of dogs equipped with GPS collars for bear hunting C. Ban bear huntin	A. See item 13(B). B. See item 58(B). C. See item 10.