

Table 1. Public Comments And Department Responses to Changes to Section 708, Big Game License Tag, Application, Distribution and Complete Through the April 21, 2009 Adoption Hearing (April 29, 2009)

	Name of Commenter	Type	Date	Comment (s)	Summary of Comments	Department Response
1	A. Abdelmoien	Letter	7-Apr-09	B, L, H,M	A. Opposed to proposal to change C Zone to a premium hunt	Based on public comments and concurrence with the Department, the Commission decided to reconsider changes to the distribution of deer tags for C Zone in the on-year of the mammal regulation cycle in 2010.
2	Amin Abdelmoien	Email	7-Apr-09	B, L,H,M	B. Opposed to proposal to change D12 Zone to a premium hunt	Based on public comments and concurrence with the Department, the Commission decided to reconsider changes to the distribution of deer tags for D12 Zone in the on-year of the mammal regulation cycle in 2010.
3	Anthony Adams	Email	8-Apr-09	B,L,I,M,Y	C. Opposed to proposal to change D17 Zone to a premium hunt	Based on public comments and concurrence with the Department, the Commission decided to reconsider changes to the distribution of deer tags for D17 Zone in the on-year of the mammal regulation cycle in 2010.
4	Michael Aleksick	Letter and Email	6-Apr-09	B, L, J,I,K,M	D. Supports proposal to change C Zone to a premium hunt	Based on public comments and concurrence with the Department, the Commission decided to reconsider changes to the distribution of deer tags for C Zone in the on-year of the mammal regulation cycle in 2010.
5	Kelly Baker	Email	8-Apr-09	B,E, L, H	E. Reduces hunting opportunities for local hunters	Under current system non-local hunters may apply for tags in all zones.
6	Brian Barrett	Email	8-Apr-09	B, L, Q	F. Increase tag quota	Discussion of quotas outside of proposed ranges was not under consideration.
7	George Bennett	Email	8-Apr-09	B, L,I, M,Y	G. Decrease license fees	
8	Jim Brady	Email	9-Apr-09	B, L,I, M,Y	H. Change the criteria for a premium hunt	Suggestion will be treated as a new recommendation for the next mammal regulation review cycle.
9	Scott Brubaker	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, E,J, Y	I. Reconsider the proposal for D12 in 3-5 years	The no change alternative postponed any changes to D12, but the suggestion will be treated as a new recommendation for the next mammal regulation review cycle.
10	Richard Carter	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, E, L, J	J. Continue with current system to distribute tags	The no change alternative postponed any changes to D12, but the suggestion will be treated as a new recommendation for the next mammal regulation review cycle.

11	Alan Chan	Email	8-Apr-09	B, L, I, M, Y	K. Concerned about decreasing the D12 tag quota	Annual range adjustments based on over winter herd survival rates must always be considered to balance hunter opportunity with herd health.
12	William Collins	Email	8-Apr-09	B, E	L. Decrease/increase tag quotas	Annual range adjustment based on science, such as over winter herd survival rates, must always be considered to balance hunter opportunity with herd health.
13	Steve Davis	Email	25-Feb-09	A, E, P	M. Fires in Southern California caused hunters to buy tags in other areas so tag quota for D12 filled earlier.	Tag quota for D12 has filled earlier than the previous year in each of the last seven years.
14	Asad M Eid	Letter	7-Apr-09	B, L, H, M	N. Notification of proposal was not received by interested parties. Concerned about method of notification to hunters.	The Commission makes every effort to maintain an up-to-date-list of interested persons including commenters, print media, hunting organizations,
15	Eid M Eid	Letter	7-Apr-09	B, L, H, M	O. Work with local groups, Department staff and local Fish and Game Commission on a solution for D12 tags	Additional scoping meetings will be established prior to next years mammal hunting review cycle.
16	Terry Fair	Email	9-Apr-09	B, M	P. Control deer population -close buck hunting in C zone for a few years.	Discussion of buck hunting closure was not under consideration. This will be treated as a new recommendation for next year.
17	Joseph Finnell	Email	5-Apr-09	B, L, M	Q. Submit information to document status of herd in D12	Deer herd information was provided in the current CEQA documents, and will again be reviewed in next year's Environmental Document.
18	Elliot Fortin	Letter	8-Apr-09	B, L, M	R. Possible ban for lead ammunition	Discussion of lead ammunition was not under consideration for this proposal.
19	Myron Fortin	Letter	8-Apr-09	B, I, M, T	S. Reclassification would favor hunters with points	Currently, hunters who apply for C, D12 or D17 tags as their first tag choice do not earn points. Any reclassification of the point system will be treated as a new recommendation for next year.
20	Fernando & Debbie Freitas	Email	24-Feb-09	A, E, R, S, V	T. Use biological data to support any changes	Deer herd information was provided in the current CEQA documents, and will again be reviewed in next year's Environmental Document.
21	Wilton Fryer	Letter	5-Apr-09	A, E, J, L, S	U. Distribute all deer tags through the drawing	Discussion of distributing all deer tags through the drawing was not under consideration. This will be treated as a new recommendation.
22	Dan Gaska	Email	6-Apr-09	B, E	V. Rely on deer meat for sustenance	Hunters outside the area may also rely on deer meat from this area for sustenance
23	Mike Goodner	Email	3-Mar-09	A, L, G	W. BLM has posted signs in the D12 zone	Department is unaware of the verbiage that appears on the signs.
24	David Harstock	Letter	8-Apr-09	B, L	X. No drawing for any deer tags	The Commission and Department have determined the draw system is the most equitable way to distribute tags statewide.

25	Vince Hernandez	Email	8-Apr-09	B,E, L	Y. Drawing designation as a premium tag may imply an attractive and high success rate for hunt	Tags issued in the drawing are for hunts in high demand and have lower tag quotas.
26	Michael Hogg	Email	9-Apr-09	B, J,T, Y	Z. Impact disabled hunter in the area.	Disabled hunters who live outside the area may be currently applying for tags in other areas.
27	Craig Hooser	Email	6-Apr-09	B, E, L		
28	Kenneth Hoskins	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, E, L, J		
29	Jordan M Humes	Letter	7-Apr-09	B,L,H,M		
30	Ken M Humes	Letter	7-Apr-09	B,L, H,M		
31	Dean Inmon	Email	7-Apr-09	B,E,L,J, M,Q,R		
32	Irene Inmon	Email	7-Apr-09	B, E		
33	Al Kalin	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, L, J,M		
34	Ron LaRoche	Oral	9-Apr-09	D, E, N		
35	Leon Lesicka	Oral	9-Apr-09	B,L,J,N,T		
36	Mike Lewis	Letter	15-Apr-09	A,E,J,S		
37	Cliff McDonald	Email	11-Apr-09	B,C,I,M,U		
38	Von Medearis	Email	11-Apr-09	B,C,I,M,U		
39	David Middleton	Email	7-Apr-09	B, E, L, I,V		
40	Gerald Mulcahy	Email	7-Apr-09	B,E, J, W		
41	John V Muse	Email	8-Apr-09	B,J,T		
42	Ronald Owen	Email	6-Apr-09	B,J		
43	Bob Presley	Email	12-Mar-09	B		
44	Ken Radford	Email	8-Apr-09	B,J,M,Y		
45	Linda Radford	Email	19-Apr-09	A, E,H, N		
46	Justin Richardson	Email	19-Apr-09	A, E,H, N		
47	Randy Rister	Email	23-Feb-09	A,E,J		
48	Bruce Rowland	Oral	9-Apr-09	B, F, N,O,Q,T		
49	Lo Fong Sadee	Letter	26-Mar-09	A		
50	Kevin Saeturn	Letter	5-Mar-09	A,E,J		
51	Larry Smith	Letter	1-Mar-09	A, E		
52	Theodore Staiger	Email	8-Apr-09	B,Y		
53	Nick Taranik	Email	7-Apr-09	B, I, J,T		
54	Amelia Thompson	Email	16-Apr-09	C, J, T, Y		
55	Erick Thompson	Email	7-Apr-09	B,E, J		
56	Jessica Thompson	Email	7-Apr-09	B,E, J,L		
57	John Thurman	Email	7-Apr-09	B,J		
58	David Tomlinson	Email	22-Feb-09	A, E, R, Z		

59	Terry Torrence	Email	8-Apr-09	B, L, I, M, Y		
60	Joe Van Diver	Letter	7-Apr-09	B, L, I, M, T, Y		
61		Email	27-Mar-09	B, L, N, Y		
62	Todd Van Dixon	Letter	4-Mar-09	A, E		
63	Byron Walker, Jr	Letter	1-Mar-09	A, E, N,		
64	Zachary Whitman	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, E, L, J		
65	George Widmann	Letter	5-Apr-09	B, E, J		
66	Fred Worthley	Email	4-Apr-09	B, E		
67	Christopher Wright	Oral	9-Apr-09	B, L, I, N, O, T, Q		