STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FISH AND GAME COMMISSION  
INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REGULATORY ACTION  
(Pre-publication of Notice Statement)  

Amend Section 502  
Title 14, California Code of Regulations  
Re: Waterfowl; Canvasback Hunting Regulations

I. Date of Initial Statement of Reasons: August 20, 2007

II. Dates and Locations of Scheduled Hearings:

(a) Notice Hearing:  
Date: August 10, 2007  
Location: Santa Barbara, CA

(b) Discussion Hearing:  
Date: October 12, 2007  
Location: Concord CA

(c) Adoption Hearing:  
Date: October 16, 2007  
Location: Teleconference

III. Description of Regulatory Action:

(a) Statement of Specific Purpose of Regulation Change and Factual Basis for Determining that Regulation Change is Reasonably Necessary:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) annually establishes federal regulation “frameworks” in August after they analyze current waterfowl population data and gather input from the public. These “frameworks” stipulate the earliest waterfowl hunting seasons can open, the maximum number of days hunting can occur, the latest hunting seasons must close, and the maximum daily bag limit, among other things. States must set waterfowl hunting regulations within the federal frameworks.

The 2007 federal frameworks permit a daily bag limit of 2 canvasback ducks. This framework was unanticipated during the earlier consideration and environmental review.

(b) Authority and Reference Sections from Fish and Game Code for Regulation:

Authority: Sections 202 and 355, Fish and Game Code.

Reference: Sections 202, 355, and 356, Fish and Game Code.

(c) Specific Technology or Equipment Required by Regulatory Change: None.

(d) Identification of Reports or Documents Supporting Regulation Change:

2007 Initial Study and Proposed Negative Declaration

(e) Public Discussions of Proposed Regulations Prior to Notice Publication:

This proposal was discussed at the Commission’s August 10, 2007 meeting in Santa Barbara. The 30-day comment period on the Initial Study and Proposed Negative Declaration provides adequate time for review of the proposed amendment.
IV. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change:
No other alternatives were identified.

(b) No Change Alternative:
The No Change Alternative would keep the existing daily bag limit of 1 canvasback

(c) Consideration of Alternatives:
In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the regulation is proposed or would be as effective as and less burdensome to the affected private persons than the proposed regulation.

V. Mitigation Measures Required by Regulatory Action:
The proposed regulatory action will have no negative impact on the environment; therefore, no mitigation measures are needed.

VI. Impact of Regulatory Action:
The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:
The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed regulations are intended to provide additional recreational opportunity to the public. The response is expected to be minor in nature.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California: None.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:
The agency is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None.

(f) Programs mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None.
(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4: None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None.
Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Current regulations in Section 502, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), provide definitions, hunting zone descriptions, season opening and closing dates, and establish daily bag and possession limits. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, after analysis of waterfowl population survey and other data, has changed federal regulations which will permit an increase in the daily bag limit for Canvasbacks.

The Department's proposal is to increase the daily bag limit for canvasesbacks from 1 per day to 2 per day.